

Non-Equilibrium Fluctuations of Black Hole Horizons

Satoshi Iso,^{*} Susumu Okazawa,[†] and Sen Zhang[‡]
*KEK Theory Center, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies,
 High Energy Accelerator Research Organization(KEK)*
and
*The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI),
 Oho 1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*
 (Dated: August 9, 2010)

We investigate non-equilibrium nature of fluctuations of black hole horizons by applying the fluctuation theorems and the Jarzynski equality developed in the non-equilibrium statistical physics. These theorems applied to space-times with black hole horizons lead to the generalized second law of thermodynamics. It is also suggested that the second law should be violated microscopically so as to satisfy the Jarzynski equality.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy, 05.40.-a

Introduction. — There are striking similarities between space-time with horizons and thermodynamic systems. The analogies have been extensively investigated, especially, in the black hole thermodynamics. A black hole behaves as a blackbody with the Hawking temperature $T_H = \hbar\kappa/2\pi$ [1], and energy ΔE flowing into the black hole can be identified as the entropy increase $T_H\Delta S_{BH}$ of the black hole. Here, κ is the surface gravity at the horizon and the entropy of the black hole S_{BH} is proportional to the area of the horizon A as $S_{BH} = A/4G\hbar$ in the Einstein-Hilbert theory of gravity. The thermal behavior is essentially quantum mechanical. Furthermore, such a thermal behavior is not restricted to globally-defined horizons like an event horizon of a black hole, but also applicable to local horizons such as the Rindler horizon of a uniformly accelerated observer. The point is emphasized in e.g. [2]. At the classical level, this is related to an interplay of a local change of the horizon area and the Einstein equation. In particular, T. Jacobson pointed out [3] that the Einstein equation is derivable from a thermodynamic relation for the local Rindler horizon. At the quantum level, the local notion of horizon entropy has more fundamental meaning, since it gives transition rates of area-changing irreversible processes of black holes, and will be related to the quantum statistical nature of the space-time. If there exists a fundamental quantum structure of space-time behind the horizon thermodynamics, how can we probe such microscopic states?

In order to tackle this question, let us go back into history at the early twenties century. Einstein proposed several methods to determine the Avogadro constant N_A . In particular he investigated the dynamics of the Brownian motion in [4]. His aim was giving a proof of the reality of atoms. If we knew nothing about the atoms, how could we count the number of atoms contained in one mole gas? His idea was to measure fluctuations of physical quantities around thermal equilibrium. In thermodynamics, the unit of energy per kelvin per mole is

given by the gas constant R , and any thermodynamic observable in equilibrium is expressed in terms of R . As far as one measures thermal averages in equilibrium, it is impossible to disentangle the macroscopic unit of energy R into the Avogadro constant N_A and the Boltzmann constant k_B . Einstein's insight was in paying attention to fluctuations around thermal equilibrium. A thermal quantity fluctuates because of the microscopic structures behind the thermodynamics. He reversed the entropy formula of Boltzmann $S = k_B \log W$ as $W = \exp(S/k_B)$, and obtained the mean squared values of fluctuations in terms of the microscopic unit of energy k_B and various response functions [5]. For example, the entropy fluctuation at constant pressure is given by $\langle(\Delta S)^2\rangle = k_B C_p$ where C_p is the heat capacity at constant pressure. This is now understood as a fluctuation-dissipation theorem, which relates the fluctuation around the equilibrium to a response function.

After Einstein, many important works have been performed to understand out-of-equilibrium properties of fluctuations. One of the most notable developments will be the non-equilibrium fluctuation theorem first discovered in numerical simulations [6]. There are several variations of the theorem. An adequate one in the present context is the Crooks fluctuation theorem [7]. Assume that the system is initially in thermal equilibrium at inverse temperature β with an external parameter $\lambda^F(0)$. Then one changes the external parameter $\lambda^F(t)$ as a function of time from $t = 0$ to $t = T$. The system evolves according to the Hamiltonian dynamics. The procedure of changing the parameter corresponds, for example, to a process of moving a piston and it needs not to be quasi-static. In changing the external parameter, the system becomes out-of-equilibrium. For each microscopic state, one measures the amount of work exerted on the system, and takes an ensemble average over the initial density matrix. Define $\rho^F(W)$ as a probability that the exerted work is given by W under the change of parameter $\lambda^F(t)$. $\lambda^F(t)$ is called a forward protocol. We also consider a re-

versed protocol in which we change the external parameter in a reversed way as $\lambda^R(t) \equiv \lambda^F(T-t)$ from $t=0$ to $t=T$. The system is assumed to be initially in thermal equilibrium at the same temperature, but with a different external parameter $\lambda^R(0) = \lambda^F(T)$. Define $\rho^R(-W)$ as a probability that the work is given by $-W$ in the reversed protocol. The Crooks fluctuation theorem states that the ratio of these two probabilities is given in terms of the work and the difference of free energies $F(\lambda)$ between the two equilibrium states,

$$\frac{\rho^F(W)}{\rho^R(-W)} = e^{\beta(W-\Delta F)}, \quad (1)$$

where we defined $\Delta F = F(\lambda^R(0)) - F(\lambda^F(0))$. From the Crooks fluctuation theorem, the Jarzynski equality [8] can be obtained, $\langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle = e^{-\beta \Delta F}$. Here, the angled bracket stands for the average with the probability $\rho^F(W)$. It is surprising since the average of exponentiated work in non-equilibrium processes in the left hand side is related to the difference of equilibrium quantities at the beginnings of the protocols. These relations imply that non-equilibrium fluctuations are miraculously arranged so as to satisfy such very nontrivial relations. By using the Jensen's inequality, $\langle \exp(x) \rangle \geq \exp(\langle x \rangle)$, the Jarzynski relation is reduced to $\langle (W - \Delta F) \rangle \geq 0$, which implies the second law of thermodynamics. Though the average of $W - \Delta F$ is always non-negative, the Jarzynski relation requires that there is a nonzero probability for the quantity to take a negative value microscopically.

The purpose of this letter is to apply these celebrated relations of non-equilibrium fluctuations to space-times with horizons. In particular, we consider non-equilibrium fluctuations of black hole horizons.

Transition Rates. — We consider a coupled system of a black hole and matter. The external parameter $\lambda(t)$ characterizing the system Hamiltonian, which appeared in the fluctuation theorem, can be arbitrarily chosen here such as a height of a potential for the matter. If the whole system is controlled by a unitary time evolution with time-reversal symmetry, a transition probability $\mathcal{W}_{\lambda^F(t)}(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}')$ from one configuration \mathcal{C} to another \mathcal{C}' under a time evolution of the external parameter $\lambda^F(t)$ is equal to a probability $\mathcal{W}_{\lambda^R(t)}(\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C})$ from \mathcal{C}' to \mathcal{C} under the reversed change of the parameter $\lambda^R(t)$. In the presence of a black hole, however, the time-reversal symmetry is violated by imposing the ingoing boundary condition at the horizon. In a black hole space-time, regular coordinates near the horizon, i.e. Kruskal coordinates (U, V) , are defined by $U = -\kappa^{-1}e^{-\kappa(t-r_*)}$ and $V = \kappa^{-1}e^{\kappa(t+r_*)}$. Here, t and r_* are the Schwarzschild-time and the tortoise coordinates. Quantum fields near the horizon are classified into two types of chiral fields (in a two-dimensional sense on (t, r_*) plane), one depending on U and the other on V . Fields depending on V are ingoing waves falling

into the black hole while those depending on U are propagating nearly along the horizon and correspond to outgoing modes. The regularity at the horizon requires occupation of outgoing modes $\phi(\omega) \sim e^{-i\omega U}$ to vanish at the (future) horizon. Namely, we must impose the vacuum condition for the outgoing modes in the Kruskal coordinates. On the contrary, there is no constraint for the ingoing modes, and the conditions are asymmetric between U and V . The time-reversal transformation $t \rightarrow -t$ exchanges the coordinates U and V , and the presence of horizon violates the time-reversal symmetry of the quantum states. Therefore, the above transition probabilities are not necessarily the same.

The ratio of the above transition probabilities was evaluated by Massar and Parentani [9] for Hawking radiation processes. They have shown that the transition rates for systems with a black hole horizon are governed by changes in the horizon area. In the present case, the ratio of a transition probability between a configuration \mathcal{C} with black hole area A and another one \mathcal{C}' with area A' under a fixed value of external parameter λ is given by

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'(A'))}{\mathcal{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}'(A') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A))} = \exp(\Delta A/4G\hbar), \quad (2)$$

where the change of area $\Delta A = A' - A$ is assumed to be small. In deriving this, they used the WKB approximation for the system wave function, and calculated the transition rates in the first Born approximation for the interaction between the detector and radiation field. A similar result was obtained in a different way in [10]. If we identify ΔA as the energy ΔE emanated from the black hole by $\Delta A/4G\hbar = -\Delta E/T_H$, it becomes the Boltzmann factor $\exp(-\Delta E/T_H)$ in the Hawking radiation. The ratio eq.(2) takes into account the back reaction of the geometry against emanating radiation quanta. If detailed balance is satisfied in the processes, the ratio eq.(2) is identified as the ratio of a probability in the configuration $\mathcal{C}'(A')$ to that in $\mathcal{C}(A)$, and hence consistent with the entropy of a black hole $S_{BH} = A/4G\hbar$.

In the proof [9], they have used an observation by Carlip and Teitelboim [11] that, if we consider a coupled system of a black hole and matter exchanging energy between them, one needs to add a boundary term to the bulk action, $S = S_{bulk} + A\Theta/8\pi G$. Here, $\Theta = \kappa t$ for on-shell and stationary metrics. Then in quantizing the system, the Wheeler-DeWitt equation $\hat{H}_{tot}\Psi = 0$ in the bulk must be supplemented by the boundary Schrödinger equation [11] $i\hbar\partial_\Theta\Psi = -(\dot{A}/8\pi G)\Psi$, and the total system's wave function evolves as $\exp(iA\Theta/8\pi G\hbar)$ in the WKB approximation.

Here, we argue that the ratio (2) should be valid also for processes including classical absorption of energy into the black hole, if we generalize the notion of transition probabilities in the following way. The matter system outside the horizon dissipates the energy by transferring it into

the black hole. Furthermore, the system feels a kind of thermal noise due to the Hawking radiation. Hence, by including both effects of the heat transfer, in and out, at the horizon, the effective equation of motion for matter is controlled by a stochastic Langevin equation with dissipation and noise terms. In such a situation, one can define a probability distribution of the system to take some configuration. Time evolution of the probability distribution function is described by the Fokker-Planck equation. Clearly the time reversal symmetry is violated, and we can expect an asymmetry between the probabilities of the forward and the reversed processes. The ratio is evaluated in general Langevin processes in [12]. By applying it to our case, the energy transfer into the black hole can be rewritten as the area change of the black hole through the first law of black hole thermodynamics ($\Delta S_{BH} = \Delta E/T_{BH}$). It can be possible to bring it together with Hawking radiation effect into the total amount of area change. This suggests the validity of the probability ratio (2) for wider situations including classical absorption of energy into the black hole. Details will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

Non-equilibrium Fluctuations of Horizons. — We consider a sequence of configurations of a coupled system of a black hole and matter, and denote it as $\Gamma = \{C_0(A_0), C_1(A_1), \dots, C_M(A_M)\}$. The configuration C_k is realized at a discretized time $t = k\Delta t$. Each transition probability is given by $\mathcal{W}_{\lambda^F(t_k)}(C_k(A_k) \rightarrow C_{k+1}(A_{k+1}))$. If we assume the Markov process, the transition probability for the sequence of configurations Γ to be realized is given by a product of them,

$$P^F(\Gamma) = \prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \mathcal{W}_{\lambda^F(t_k)}(C_k(A_k) \rightarrow C_{k+1}(A_{k+1})). \quad (3)$$

As we argued, the sequence can represent a general process of absorbing and emitting matter through the black hole horizon. On the other hand, the probability for the reversed sequence of configurations $\Gamma^* = \{C_M(A_M), \dots, C_1(A_1), C_0(A_0)\}$ with the reserved change of the external parameter is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P^R(\Gamma^*) &= \prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \mathcal{W}_{\lambda^R(t_k)}(C_{M-k}(A_{M-k}) \rightarrow C_{M-k+1}(A_{M-k+1})) \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{M-1} e^{\frac{\Delta A}{4G\hbar}} \mathcal{W}_{\lambda^F(t_k)}(C_{k+1}(A_{k+1}) \rightarrow C_k(A_k)). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here we have used eq. (2). Hence the ratio of these two probabilities is given by

$$\frac{P^F(\Gamma)}{P^R(\Gamma^*)} = \exp\left(\frac{A_M - A_0}{4G\hbar}\right) \equiv \exp(S_P(\Gamma)). \quad (5)$$

$S_P(\Gamma)$ is defined as the logarithm of the ratio, and proportional to the difference of area, which is not necessarily small.

We now derive a Crook's type fluctuation theorem in the black hole system. The matter is assumed to be in thermal equilibrium with Hawking temperature T_H with an external parameter $\lambda^F(0)$ at the beginning. First define the total dissipation $\Delta S(\Gamma)$ by

$$\exp(-\Delta S(\Gamma)) \equiv \frac{p_{\lambda^R(0)}(C_M)}{p_{\lambda^F(0)}(C_0)} \exp(-S_P(\Gamma)), \quad (6)$$

where $p_{\lambda^{(F, R)}(t_0)}$ is the initial probability distribution for matters under the forward or reversed protocols. We assume that these probability distributions are canonical distributions with the Hawking temperature. A relation $\Delta S(\Gamma^*) = -\Delta S(\Gamma)$ is followed by $S_P(\Gamma^*) = -S_P(\Gamma)$. A transition probability to produce the total dissipation $\Delta S(\Gamma) = \Delta S$ under the forward protocol $\lambda^F(t)$ is now given by

$$\rho^F(\Delta S) = \sum_{C_0, \Gamma} p_{\lambda^F(0)}(C_0) P^F(\Gamma) \delta(\Delta S(\Gamma) - \Delta S). \quad (7)$$

Here, $\sum_{C_0} p_{\lambda^F(0)}(C_0)$ stands for a sum over all possible initial states weighted by the initial distribution. \sum_{Γ} is a path integral for all possible trajectories. By using (5) and (6), it is straightforward to show the Crook's type fluctuation theorem

$$\rho^F(\Delta S) e^{-\Delta S} = \rho^R(-\Delta S). \quad (8)$$

From the definition of $\Delta S(\Gamma)$ in (6), it is identified as a sum of the black hole entropy and the entropy of matter;

$$\Delta S(\Gamma) = \frac{\Delta A}{4G\hbar} + \beta_H(\Delta E - \Delta F). \quad (9)$$

By integrating the equation (8) over ΔS , it gives a Jarzynski type equality

$$\langle e^{-\Delta S} \rangle = 1. \quad (10)$$

The Jensen inequality implies the generalized second law of thermodynamics $\langle \Delta S \rangle \geq 0$ of the black hole with matter [13]. An important point here is that it is satisfied only in an averaged sense, and in order to satisfy the Jarzynski type equality (10), entropy decreasing processes must exist as individual processes, and their probabilities are miraculously arranged to satisfy the Jarzynski equality.

Conclusions and Discussions. — In this letter, we have applied the recent developments in non-equilibrium statistical physics to area changing processes of a black hole interacting with external matter. The non-equilibrium fluctuation theorems à la Crooks and Jarzynski are derived, which lead to the generalized

second law of black holes. The second law holds only after taking a thermodynamic average, and it should be violated as an individual process in a miraculous way to satisfy the Jarzynski equality.

There are several issues that further investigations are necessary. First, we have applied the formula (2) to general processes including absorption and emission of classical and quantum particles. As argued, it will be proved by using a path integral formulation of stochastic processes for the matter fields in a black hole background. More details will be reported in a separate paper. Instead of using the stochastic equation for the matter that may be obtained after integrating out internal degrees of freedom of a black hole, we may consider a whole quantum system containing both of the internal and external degrees of freedom. This will be achieved by considering the global Hilbert space of the left and right wedges altogether.

Another issue is to apply a different type of fluctuation theorems, such as the steady state fluctuation theorem. If the matter continues to be in a thermal equilibrium with a different temperature from T_H , there is a constant flow of energy between the black hole and the matter. The fluctuation theorem is also applicable to such a situation. From the steady state fluctuation theorem, we can derive a fluctuation-dissipation relation by assuming Gaussian fluctuations around a long-time average in a late time. We may also be able to obtain a Green-Kubo relation that relates the correlation of energy flow and a proportionality coefficient between area change of horizon and energy flow.

More ambitiously, as Einstein asked himself how to prove the reality of atoms, we may also ask ourselves, what is an analog of the Avogadro constant in the gravity, or how we can probe such quantities by looking at some kinds of fluctuations caused by the microscopic structures of the space-time. An analogous quantity to the Avogadro constant will be the black hole entropy per unit area $\sim 10^{70}[1/m^2]$ (or its exponential). Since it is a huge number, the fluctuation will be largely suppressed. Finally, note that the fluctuation of the black hole

entropy (horizon area) is proportional to the specific heat, which is negative for the Schwarzschild black hole. As it means an instability of the black hole, it becomes the more important to take into account non-equilibrium effects of the fluctuations.

The work was presented by one of us (S.I.) at the RIKEN workshop on 23 July 2010 and by S.O. at the YITP workshop YITP-W-10-02, Kyoto on 21-24 July 2010. We would like to thank the participants for useful discussions and comments, especially, K. Fujikawa, T. Izuyama and H. Kawai. We also acknowledge T. Sagawa for enlightening discussions on non-equilibrium physics. The research by S.I. is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (19540316) from MEXT, Japan. The research by S.Z. is supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Research Fellowship for Young Scientists. We are also supported in part by "the Center for the Promotion of Integrated Sciences (CPIS)" of Sokendai.

* E-mail address: satoshi.iso@kek.jp

† E-mail address: okazawas@post.kek.jp

‡ E-mail address: zhangsen@post.kek.jp

- [1] S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **43**, 199 (1975).
- [2] T. Jacobson and R. Parentani, *Found. Phys.*, **33**, 323 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0302099.
- [3] T. Jacobson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **75**, 1260 (1995), arXiv:gr-qc/9504004.
- [4] A. Einstein, *Annalen der Physik*, **17**, 549 (1905).
- [5] A. Einstein, *Annalen der Physik*, **33**, 1275 (1910).
- [6] D. J. Evans, E. G. D. Cohen, and G. P. Morriss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **71**, 2401 (1993).
- [7] G. Crooks, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **90**, 1481 (1998).
- [8] C. Jarzynski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **78**, 2690 (1997), cond-mat/9610209.
- [9] S. Massar and R. Parentani, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B575**, 333 (2000), arXiv:gr-qc/9903027.
- [10] P. Kraus and F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B433**, 403 (1995), arXiv:gr-qc/9408003.
- [11] S. Carlip and C. Teitelboim, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, **12**, 1699 (1995), arXiv:gr-qc/9312002.
- [12] T. Hatano and S. Sasa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **86**, 3463 (2001), cond-mat/0010405.
- [13] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev.*, **D9**, 3292 (1974).